People with enough nutritious food are healthier and better able to thrive at home, in their communities, and at work.

Food is a fundamental to human life. But many Mainers don't have enough food to meet their basic needs. Many more cannot afford a diet that is suitably nutritious to live a happy, productive life. This lack of nourishment, known as food insecurity, is a hardship that leads families to have worse physical and mental health, and to struggle at work and in school.

In the three-year period ending with 2018, more than 77,000 Maine households — representing 13.6 percent of Maine families — were food insecure, on average, each year. That includes 33,500 families, or 5.9 percent, who were very insecure. On both measures, Maine performed worse than the national average.¹

Food insecurity in Maine reflects broader economic insecurity, fueled in part by widespread income and wealth inequality. As a nation and a state, we have the resources to ensure no one goes hungry. But millions still struggle to put food on the table.

In this issue brief, MECEP examines food insecurity in Maine — its extent, causes, and effects — and demonstrates that eliminating this problem would be much less costly than the status quo.

Who is food-insecure in Maine?

Despite steady GDP growth and low unemployment, Maine’s rate of food insecurity remains high.

More than one in 10 Maine households goes without suitably nutritious food, while roughly one in 20 does not have enough food to meet basic caloric needs. In the three-year period ending with 2018, Maine had the 12th-highest rate of food insecurity nationally and the highest rate in New England.²
The US Department of Agriculture defines food security as a condition of having “consistent, dependable access to enough food for active healthy living.”

Researchers distinguish between low food security and very low food security. Broadly speaking, households with low food security may be consuming food adequate to their caloric needs but are doing so through diets that lack the nutrients conducive to good health. By contrast, households with very low food security cannot afford to meet their basic caloric needs. They often report going hungry or skipping meals entirely at some point.

The Department of Agriculture and the US Census Bureau have measured food security annually since 1995 as part of the Current Population Survey, with findings published as a “Food Security Supplement” to the full CPS.

Because family members tend to share groceries, food security is typically measured and reported at the household, rather than the individual, level. Due to the small size of the survey sample, state-level food insecurity rates are generally reported as the average of three years’ measurements.

Within the statewide population, however, there are significant variations in rates of food insecurity. Mainers who face barriers such as trouble securing child care, racial discrimination, and poor health are more likely to lack adequate and nutritious food.

The following populations are at greater risk for food insecurity:

- Single-parent households: Maine households headed by single parents have the highest food insecurity rate of any group, at 42 percent. That’s nearly four times the rate of those headed by married or cohabiting parents.

- Households headed by Mainers of color: 28 percent of households headed by people of color are food-insecure. That’s more than twice the rate (13 percent) of white, non-Hispanic households.

- Mainers with health problems: 26 percent of Mainers with a physical or mental difficulty live in a food-insecure household. Among those whose disability prevents them from working entirely, 39 percent live in food-insecure households.

- Workers in low-wage industries: 33 percent of personal health aides, 22 percent of restaurant employees, and 17 percent of grocery store workers live in a food-insecure household.

- Mainers without jobs: 23 percent of unemployed Mainers live in a food-insecure household.

- Families with children: 16 percent of Maine households with children are food-insecure. These households typically have to stretch budgets further to feed more mouths and are often contending with higher other costs of living than households without children.

However, even those Mainers who do not face the barriers listed above are not immune to food insecurity.

While unemployed Mainers are more likely to live in a food-insecure household, 10 percent of working Mainers also live in households without enough nutritious food.

Likewise, even as Mainers with a college degree are generally less likely to live with food insecurity, 12 percent of public K-12 teachers live in food-insecure households.

Maine seniors are less likely to go without the food they need, but there are still 17,200 Mainers age 65 and older living in food-insecure households.
What causes food insecurity?

At its root, food insecurity is caused by a lack of resources. Families don't have enough money to buy the food they need while keeping up with all the other expenses that must be covered.

Mainers earn less than the national average, but pay more for basics such as housing, transportation, and health care (see Table 1, below).

On a per-capita basis, Mainers spend 41 percent of their income each year on health care, housing, transportation, and utilities, compared to Americans in general, who spend 35 percent of their income on the same costs. For example: In 2018, the average Mainer spent $962 more on health care than the average American.

The combined pressures of lower wages and a higher cost of living squeeze Mainers with low-to-moderate incomes, making them more likely to become food insecure.

Policy decisions at the state and federal level can also exacerbate food insecurity. Without a robust safety net, Mainers who fall on hard times are more likely to become food insecure.

Over the past decade, policymakers have weakened Maine's safety net by creating new barriers to eligibility for food assistance through the Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program. For example, between 2014 and 2019, Gov. Paul LePage's administration made it harder for Mainers in high-unemployment areas to receive food assistance, a policy which has been shown to have caused material hardship for many of those affected. Between 2016 and 2019, Maine also enforced a strict asset test on SNAP applicants, denying assistance to many low-income families.

Policymakers also enacted restrictions on cash assistance for households in poverty, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families and General Assistance. A lifetime 60-month limit was imposed in the TANF program in 2012, which contributed to a 50 percent decline in the number of families getting help and resulted in documented hardship for families with low incomes.

### Table 1: Mainers spent more of their incomes on basics than average Americans in 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maine Amount</th>
<th>Maine Share of income</th>
<th>United States Amount</th>
<th>United States Share of income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita income</td>
<td>$48,905</td>
<td></td>
<td>$51,731</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita consumption</td>
<td>$45,497</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>$40,878</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expenditures*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>$7,935</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>$6,973</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline and other energy</td>
<td>$1,864</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$943</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles</td>
<td>$1,817</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$1,530</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and utilities</td>
<td>$7,205</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$7,515</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation services</td>
<td>$1,016</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$1,342</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total health care, housing,</td>
<td>$19,837</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>$18,303</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utilities, and transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Per-capita consumption expenditures include all expenditures except gifts and business expenses.
Note: Totals do not sum, as a result of rounding.
Enrollment in MaineCare, the state’s health insurance program for low-income residents, was also drastically cut back between 2012 and 2018, as eligibility was restricted for parents, and eliminated for most adults without children, forcing more health care expenses onto low income families struggling to afford groceries.  

Gov. Janet Mills has taken a different tact, starting with expanding Medicaid eligibility and removing some barriers to food assistance. But the stigmatization and difficulty in receiving assistance over the past decade means fewer eligible Mainers are applying for SNAP and other programs. It will take time for utilization rates to recover.

What are the effects of food insecurity?

Food insecurity is linked with poorer health and education outcomes, both of which can stay with Mainers long after they escape food insecurity.

Food-insecure Americans are nearly three times as likely to report being in poorer overall health. Health problems range from relatively minor complaints such as being nearly twice as likely to have headaches or stomach aches, to catching colds 50 percent more often and hospital stays that are 33 percent longer than those of Americans in food-secure households. The impact of food insecurity on mental health is similarly broad. Food insecure Americans are twice as likely to be irritable or anxious, three and a half times more likely to be depressed, and five times as likely to have suicidal thoughts or behaviors.

It’s no surprise, then that even after controlling for other factors, such as income, food-insecure families incur higher annual health care costs. Given that inadequate income is a key cause of food insecurity, those health care costs only make it harder for food insecure families to afford the nutrition they need. Periods of illness also spur productivity losses in the economy. For many low-income families, illness also means lost wages.

Children from food insecure families do worse at school, as lack of food and adequate nutrition make it harder for them to concentrate and exacerbate behavioral problems. These children are more likely than average to miss school days, be suspended, and have to repeat a grade. As a result, food-insecure children are more likely to drop out of school, which greatly reduces their future earning potential, and

---

**Chart 1: From 2010 to 2018, many Mainers in poverty slipped through the safety net**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF/General Assistance</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: MECEP Analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2010 and 2018 public-use microdata.*
ultimately their ability to lead full and healthy lives. What’s more, Maine’s schools spend additional money on special education and other measures to assist these students.

Between sickness, loss of productivity, and the impacts on education outcomes, food insecurity has a serious economic impact.

Based on national studies, MECEP estimates the total cost of food insecurity on Maine’s economy at $709 million annually — or just over 1 percent of GDP. This is many times larger than the cost of directly feeding food insecure families in Maine, which Feeding America estimates to be $103 million a year.

**Conclusion**

Food insecurity is both a function and a driver of economic insecurity: Families in precarious economic situations are more likely to go hungry. That hunger is detrimental to their health and education outcomes, making it harder to gain a more secure foothold in the economy.

The cycle continues — with single-parent households, people of color, and people with health problems at highest risk of getting stuck in the loop.

Ensuring that no Mainer goes hungry will require a comprehensive approach that understands the dual role of food insecurity as cause and effect. Programs such as SNAP and TANF can mitigate the immediate damage by making it easier for families to afford the nutritious food they need to thrive. Policymakers should remove unnecessary barriers to eligibility to ensure the programs can meet their goals.

Simultaneously, policies to reduce economic insecurity — such as those that increase or protect workers’ wages — will tackle hunger at its root.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care services</td>
<td>$629 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect productivity loss</td>
<td>$20 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special education</td>
<td>$19 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime loss of earnings, annualized</td>
<td>$40 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$709 million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Food insecurity costs Maine millions
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