A strong and thriving economy depends on long-term investments in roads and bridges, clean water and schools, and other public goods that benefit people and businesses now and in the future. Just like generations before us who built the infrastructure we benefit from today, we have an obligation to maintain and improve existing infrastructure to strengthen the foundations of our economy. However, while the benefits of those investments are spread out over decades, the cost is often large and immediate. Bonds are a vital tool available to states to spread out the cost and make those economy-boosting investments affordable today. These investments create opportunity and jobs in the near- and long-term.

**Bonding is a responsible way to set future generations up for success**

**Bonding for long-term investments preserves General Fund revenue for today’s urgent needs**

Even if it were possible to pay for billions of dollars of needed investment with annual tax revenue, it would be unwise. The General Fund is designed to pay for today’s needs, such as education, public safety, and critical services for children and seniors. It may seem prudent to use General Fund revenue rather than borrowing. But doing so would reduce our ability to meet today’s needs, while funding only a small portion of what’s needed for our future. Bonding provides the up-front funding necessary to make smart, long-term investments without requiring immediate service cuts or tax increases.

**Bonding ensures that everyone who benefits from investments share their cost**

A new road or other public asset benefits future generations and should be expected to last 20 to 30 years or more, if funding is appropriate for regular maintenance and servicing. Bonding ensures that today’s taxpayers aren’t on the hook for the full cost of investments and maintenance that will benefit generations of Mainers to come.¹

**Smart bonding improves the state’s credit rating**

Bond rating companies — the companies that assess the state’s fiscal health for investors—look at whether the state

---

¹ Bonds are secured with a lien on the specific asset, ensuring that the proceeds generated by the asset back the debt. This is why they are considered strong investments.
has a responsible financing plan to keep up with needed investments. A backlog of large unfunded infrastructure needs will lower our credit rating and increase interest rates.²

**Bonding facilitates investments and boosts the economy**

**Bonding improves capital investment**

Roads and bridges, schools, high-speed broadband, clean water, and other infrastructure are assets that attract new business investment and residents, improve the productivity of Maine's workers and businesses, and fuel economic growth.

The large costs of building and maintaining these infrastructure systems vital to a strong economy become more affordable when the state spreads the costs out over several years using bonds.

**Bonding creates jobs**

Every dollar the state invests in infrastructure using bond money creates jobs and generates an additional $1.50 in economic activity.³

In the short-term, bonds create construction and related jobs, with workers spending their earnings at local businesses for food, clothing, and other items. In the long-term, bonds provide infrastructure for businesses to startup, grow, and create permanent jobs.

**Chart 1: Bonding stimulates the economy**


**Bonding reduces costs**

Bonding provides sustainable funding to prevent decay in Maine's roads, bridges, and other infrastructure and reduces high replacement costs. By increasing the amount of funding available in the near term, bonding also unlocks additional public and private investment, such as federal matching dollars available to states that invest in capital projects.

Over the past 25 years, Maine's transportation bonds on average leveraged an additional $1.80 in federal and other funds for every state dollar invested, reducing the overall cost of capital projects and stretching state funds further.⁴

**Maine is well positioned to increase bonding**

**Bond ratings are excellent and interest rates are low**

Bond ratings are a good measure of Maine's financial health. Like an individual's credit score, ratings from credit agencies tell would-be investors how much risk they may face in buying a government entity's bonds. In evaluating a state's ability to repay bondholders, companies such as Moody's Investor Services assign a credit rating ranging from Aaa (best quality) to C (substantial risk of default).

Maine's bond rating has varied over time but has always been strong. In the 1970s the state had a triple A rating (Aaa). Today it is double A+ (Aa2).⁵ Investors consider the credit rating in their decision whether to buy a state bond and what interest rate they require.

Rates have increased slightly as the economy has improved since the Great Recession. However, Maine's excellent credit rating makes state bonds appealing to investors and keeps interest rates low.

**Maine's interest payments remain low**

Maine's repayment of debt has fluctuated over the years, but the interest the state has paid overtime has remained low. Maine has a quick 10-year repayment schedule for bonds and maintains low interest rates because of its excellent credit rating.
The Congressional Budget Office predicts that interest rates will continue to stay low. Combined with Maine’s good credit rating, this means borrowing is a low-cost option to finance projects with big economic pay off.

**Bonding levels are sustainable**

Lawmakers must balance the state’s capital and operating needs with the cost of annual debt service, making sure that debt does not undercut other public needs.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston recommends using two measures to assess how much debt a state can afford: debt measured as a share of state revenue, and debt as a share of the personal income of state residents. Maine performs well under both comparisons and can afford to borrow more.

- **Debt as a percentage of state revenue** compares how much Maine borrows as a percentage of its total annual general and highway fund revenues and is an indicator of the impact of debt service on the state budget. According to US Census Bureau data, Maine’s 4.14 percent debt-to-revenue ratio is lower than neighboring states.

- **Debt as a share of personal income** reflects the impact of debt service on taxpayers. Maine’s debt as a percentage of total combined personal income has been consistently lower than the median of all states.

- **Interest payments as a percent of all spending** shows that Maine is under-investing in capital projects and other long-term infrastructure. Nationally, the average state and local interest payment as a percent of all spending was just 3.4 percent in 2016. Maine is far behind the national average at just 2.3 percent.

**Conclusion**

Just like most people don’t expect to buy a house without taking out a loan, states should not fund large capital investments without using bonds. Bonds provide a way to efficiently spread costs over time and make available funds go further, all while drawing down significant matching funds from the federal government and contributing to economic growth.

Bonding is a crucial strategy for Maine to meet its long-term goals without sacrificing current needs.
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